



Appl. No. 10/527,820
Reply to Notice of Non-Compliance of June 18, 2008
Attorney Docket No. 26642U

ITW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

TAKAGI et al.

Confirmation No. 6449

Application No. 10/527,820

Group Art Unit: 2136

Filed: May 11, 2005

Examiner: CERVETTI, David

Title: **ACCESS METHOD**

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

MS Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith for filing in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is the following:

- (1) This Transmittal Letter;
- (2) Compliant Amendment;

If an Extension of Time under 37 CFR §1.136 is required and has not been separately petitioned, please consider this Transmittal Letter as including a petition for such Extension of Time and as a further authorization to charge any fee for such Extension of Time, as may be required by 37 CFR §1.17, to Deposit Account No. 14-0112. Also, please charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, in connection with this matter to Deposit Account No. 14-0112.

Respectfully submitted,
THE NATH LAW GROUP

June 23, 2008

THE NATH LAW GROUP
112 South West Street
Alexandria, VA 22314-2891
Tel: 703-548-6284
Fax: 703-683-8396

Gary M. Nath
Registration No. 26,965
Jerald L. Meyer
Registration No. 41,194
Jonathan A. Kidney
Registration No. 46,195
Customer No. 20529



Appl. No. 10/527,820
Attorney Docket No. 26642U

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

TAKAGI et al.

Confirmation No. 6449

Application No. 10/527,820

Group Art Unit: 2136

Filed: May 11, 2005

Examiner: CERVETTI, David

Title: **ACCESS METHOD**

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 CFR §1.111

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Commissioner:

This is a full and complete response to the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed June 18, 12008. The three month shortened statutory period to respond expiring July 18, 2008. Please charge any fee deficiencies or credit any overpayments of Deposit Account No. 14-0112.

The May 9, 2008 amendment filed by the Applicants was found to be non-compliant in that the complete listing of the claims was not included. Specifically, previously canceled claims 1-19 were not mentioned. Therefore, Applicants enclose an entire replacement amendment corresponding to the May 9, 2008 amendment, with the exception that the replacement amendment shows that claims 1-19 have been canceled.

Amendments to the Claims begin on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks begin on page 8 of this paper.